

05-07-02

3745

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Corrected 5/02

Original Mailed 200.2/2/13

Box Patent Application
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, District of Columbia 20231

Sir:

Please file the following enclosed patent application papers:

Applicant #1, Name: Rudolph v. Bailey Sr./DBA JESUS & Bailey Inc.

Applicant #2, Name: _____

Title: Owner

☒ Specification, Claims, and Abstract: Nr. of Sheets 21

☒ Declaration: Date Signed: Yes

☒ Drawing(s): Nr. of Sheets Enc.: Formal: 2 Informal: _____

☒ Small Entity Declaration of Inventor(s) ☐ SED of Non-Inventor / Assignee / Licensee

☐ Assignment enclosed with cover sheet and recordal fee; please record and return.

☒ Check for \$ 370.00 for:

☒ \$ 370.00 for filing fee (not more than three independent claims and twenty total claims are presented).

☐ \$ _____ additional if Assignment is enclosed for recordal.

☐ Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449, and listed references.

☐ Disclosure Document Program reference letter.

☐ Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §119(e)(i), applicant(s) claim priority of Provisional Patent Application Ser. Nr. 60/349731,
filed 01/17/02

☒ Return Receipt Postcard Addressed to Applicant #1.

☒ **Request Under MPEP § 707.07(j):** The undersigned, a pro se applicant, respectfully requests that if the Examiner finds patentable subject matter disclosed in this application, but feels that Applicant's present claims are not entirely suitable, the Examiner draft one or more allowable claims for applicant.

Very respectfully,

Rudolph v. Bailey Sr.

Applicant #1 Signature

Applicant #2 Signature

938 Shank Road
Address (Send Correspondence Here)

Address

Dover DE 19904

Express Mail Label #

; Date of Deposit 200 _____

RECEIVED

MAY - 9 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700



RECEIVED

MAY - 9 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

JESUS & Bailey Inc.

938 Shank Road
Dover Delaware 19904
302-678-1137

US PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


5/3/02

Enclosed please find a complete set of revised pages for the following reasons.

- (1) Duplication of drawing figures # assigned on FIG. 1A and in specification.
- (2) Incorporation of alternate embodiment in specification.
- (3) Correcting spelling and typo. errors.

There are no new information to the application. Please disregard the existing pages.

Respectively yours


Rudolph v. Bailey

PS RE: Application No. 10/075,569 filing date 02/13/02